To: Kathryn Guyton[GuytonK@iarc.fr]; Egeghy, Peter[Egeghy.Peter@epa.gov]

From: Dana Loomis

**Sent:** Mon 1/26/2015 10:06:13 AM **Subject:** Re: IARC Monograph vol 112

Kate & Peter.

I agree with this approach. Chemspider is an appropriate reference, but the choice is up to you.

## Dana

From: Kathryn Guyton < GuytonK@iarc.fr>
Date: Monday, 26 January 2015 10:56

To: "Egeghy, Peter" < Egeghy.Peter@epa.gov >

**Cc:** Dana Loomis < <a href="mailto:loomisd@iarc.fr">loomisd@iarc.fr</a> **Subject:** Re: IARC Monograph vol 112

Dear Peter,

Not to worry. For the synonyms, I'd suggest listing the three types and including a few key examples of each, unless Dana has other ideas. Perhaps you could reference a longer list for the interested reader? Might I suggest Chemspider (e.g., <a href="http://www.chemspider.com/Chemical-Structure.3864.html?rid=ff98cb7b-a4ad-4806-83e8-e2d4a2b8581c">http://www.chemspider.com/Chemical-Structure.3864.html?rid=ff98cb7b-a4ad-4806-83e8-e2d4a2b8581c</a>), unless there may be a better US EPA resource?

I'll keep an eye for new drafts on IOPS, but please let me know when you have finished so I'm sure to find the most up-to-date versions.

Thanks again for your efforts! Best, Kate

## Kate Z. Guyton PhD DABT

Monographs Section

International Agency for Research on Cancer 150, cours Albert Thomas 69372 Lyon Cedex 08

France

Tel: [+33] (0)4 72 73 86 54

Guytonk@iarc.fr

From: <Egeghy>, Peter <<u>Egeghy.Peter@epa.gov</u>>

**Date:** Saturday 24 January 2015 21:36 **To:** Kate Guyton <<u>guytonk@iarc.fr</u>> **Cc:** Dana Loomis <<u>LoomisD@iarc.fr</u>>

Subject: RE: IARC Monograph vol 112
Hi Kate,
Criminy! Apparently working as a government bureaucrat for over decade has rendered me incapable of even the most simple tasks, such as uploading the correct documents.
I've fixed the references, but I need more guidance on the synonyms. There are three types of synonyms: different ways of describing the molecule, different common names for the pesticide, and different trade names. I chose to strive for completeness, but I'm definitely OK with shortening the lists.
I'm working on the production and use sections currently and you should see them start trickling in over the next few days.
Thanks,
Peter
From: Kathryn Guyton [mailto:GuytonK@iarc.fr] Sent: Friday, January 23, 2015 12:24 PM To: Egeghy, Peter Cc: Dana Loomis Subject: IARC Monograph vol 112
Hi Peter,
I hope you are well. We were pleased to receive several updated drafts for monograph volume 112. Dana provided the below feedback for your consideration. Might you be able to address his concerns in developing the remaining drafts?

For drafts already submitted, it would also be helpful to have the missing references he notes below; we would greatly appreciate if you could submit a revision that includes them, and reduces the number of synonyms, on IOPS.

We recognise that you may be juggling other priorities and greatly appreciate your effort. Do keep me posted on your progress.

Thank you!

Best regards,

Kate

## Kate Z. Guyton PhD DABT

Responsible Officer, Volume 112

Monographs Section

International Agency for Research on Cancer 150, cours Albert Thomas 69372 Lyon Cedex 08

France

Tel: [+33] (0)4 72 73 86 54

Guytonk@iarc.fr

From: Dana Loomis <a href="LoomisD@iarc.fr">LoomisD@iarc.fr</a>
Date: Friday 23 January 2015 17:21
To: Kate Guyton <a href="Guytonk@iarc.fr">Guytonk@iarc.fr</a>
Cc: Sandrine Egraz <a href="Egrazs@iarc.fr">Egrazs@iarc.fr</a>
Subject: 112 Section 1.1 and 1.2 drafts

Dear Kate,

I looked at the new drafts of Section 1.1 for diazinon, glyphosate and tetrachlorvinphos have been posted to IOPS. They are a little long, but I think acceptable overall--except that for diazinon and especially for glyphosate there are very long lists of synonyms. While these might be useful to some readers, in the interest of reducing text volume, I suggest listing only a few common synonyms (no more than 10 or 12) and adding a reference for the others if there are more than that. The reference lists may also be

incomplete: for example, for diazinon the Howard, HSDB, OECD and NIOSH references are missing.
The drafts of Section 1.1 for malathion and parathion that were posted on 15/01 are actually duplicate copies of Section 1.1 for glyphosate, so the correct drafts for these two agents are missing.
The drafts for Section 1.2 for all of the agents are still the previous versions from December, although IOPS appears to show them as new.
Hope this helps
Dana
This message and its attachments are strictly confidential. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please immediately notify the sender and delete it. Since its integrity cannot be guaranteed, its content cannot involve the sender's responsibility. Any misuse, any disclosure or publication of its content, either whole or partial, is prohibited, exception made of formally approved use.

This message and its attachments are strictly confidential. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please immediately notify the sender and delete it. Since its integrity cannot be guaranteed, its content cannot involve the sender's responsibility. Any misuse, any disclosure or publication of its content, either whole or partial, is prohibited, exception made of formally approved use.